

EXHIBIT “K”

**IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS NOTICE AND ARE A
CONTRACT-COUNTERPARTY TO AN AGREEMENT WITH
THE DEBTORS, PLEASE REVIEW EXHIBIT A, ATTACHED
TO THE MOTION (AS DEFINED BELOW), TO DETERMINE IF THE
MOTION AFFECTS YOUR AGREEMENT AND YOUR RIGHTS THEREUNDER.**

Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Joseph H. Smolinsky
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i>,	:	09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----X		

**NOTICE OF DEBTORS' NINTH OMNIBUS MOTION PURSUANT
TO 11 U.S.C. § 365 TO REJECT CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS
AND UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed motion, dated November 12, 2009 (the “**Motion**”), of Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) and its affiliated debtors, as debtors in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”), for an order, pursuant to section 365, of title 11, United States Code to reject certain executory contracts (collectively, the “**Executory Contracts**”) and unexpired leases of nonresidential real property

(collectively, the “**Leases**”), all as more fully set forth in the Motion, a hearing will be held before the Honorable Robert E. Gerber, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Room 621 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **November 24, 2009 at 10:30 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses or objections to the Motion must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-242 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and served in accordance with General Order M-242, and on (i) Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, attorneys for the Debtors, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Harvey R. Miller, Esq., Stephen Karotkin, Esq., and Joseph H. Smolinsky, Esq.); (ii) the Debtors, c/o Motors Liquidation Company, 500 Renaissance Center, Suite 1400, Detroit, Michigan 48243 (Attn: Ted Stenger); (iii) General Motors, LLC, 300 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48265 (Attn: Lawrence S. Buonomo, Esq.); (iv) Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP, attorneys for the United States Department of the Treasury, One World Financial Center, New York, New York 10281 (Attn: John J. Rapisardi, Esq.); (v) the United States Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Room 2312, Washington, DC 20220 (Attn: Joseph Samarias, Esq.); (vi) Vedder Price, P.C., attorneys for Export Development

Canada, 1633 Broadway, 47th Floor, New York, New York 10019 (Attn: Michael J. Edelman, Esq. and Michael L. Schein, Esq.); (vii) Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, attorneys for the statutory committee of unsecured creditors, 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036 (Attn: Thomas Moers Mayer, Esq., Amy Caton, Esq., Adam C. Rogoff, Esq., and Gregory G. Plotko, Esq.); (xii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Diana G. Adams, Esq.); and (xiii) the U.S. Attorney's Office, S.D.N.Y., 86 Chambers Street, Third Floor, New York, New York 10007 (Attn: David S. Jones, Esq. and Matthew L. Schwartz, Esq.), so as to be received no later than **November 18, 2009, at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the "**Objection Deadline**").

If no objections are timely filed and served with respect to the Motion, the Debtors may, on or after the Objection Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Motion, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: New York, New York
November 12, 2009

/s/ Joseph H. Smolinsky

Harvey R. Miller

Stephen Karotkin

Joseph H. Smolinsky

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153

Telephone: (212) 310-8000

Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors

and Debtors in Possession

IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MOTION AND ARE A CONTRACT-COUNTERPARTY TO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE DEBTORS, PLEASE REVIEW EXHIBIT A, ATTACHED HERETO, TO DETERMINE IF THIS MOTION AFFECTS YOUR AGREEMENT AND YOUR RIGHTS THEREUNDER.

Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Joseph H. Smolinsky
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i>,	:	09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----X	:	

**DEBTORS' NINTH OMNIBUS MOTION PURSUANT
TO 11 U.S.C § 365 TO REJECT CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS
AND UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY**

TO THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. GERBER,
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) and its
affiliated debtors, as debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively,
the "**Debtors**"), respectfully represent:

Relief Requested

1. Pursuant to section 365(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and Rules 6006 and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”), the Debtors request authorization to reject certain executory contracts (the “**Executory Contracts**”) and unexpired leases of nonresidential real property (collectively, the “**Leases**”). A list identifying and describing the affected Executory Contracts and Leases is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. A proposed form of order (the “**Order**”) is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

2. The Debtors’ request that the rejection of the Executory Contracts and Leases be effective as of November 30, 2009, except with respect to the Debtors’ Lease with M-Tech Associates, LLC (“**M-Tech**”), which the Debtors’ request be effective as of October 31, 2009. The Debtors vacated and surrendered the premises leased from M-Tech prior to October 31, 2009, and provided advance notice to counsel for M-Tech of its intention to vacate and seek a proposed rejection date of October 31, 2009.

3. The Debtors also request that the deadline to file a proof of claim with respect to any claim for damages arising from the rejection of the Executory Contracts or Leases be **5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** of the date that is **thirty (30) days** after service of the order approving the relief requested herein.

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

Background

5. On June 1, 2009, the Debtors filed a motion (the “**Sale Motion**”), requesting, *inter alia*, an order (the “**Sale Order**”), pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363(b), (f), and (m), and 365, authorizing and approving (i) the sale of substantially all of the Debtors’ assets pursuant to a proposed Master Sale and Purchase Agreement and related agreements (the “**MPA**”) among the Debtors and NGMCO, Inc. (n/k/a General Motors LLC) (“**New GM**”), a purchaser sponsored by the United States Department of the Treasury (the “**U.S. Treasury**”), free and clear of liens, claims, encumbrances, and other interests, (ii) the assumption and assignment of certain executory contracts and unexpired leases of personal property and of nonresidential real property, and (iii) the approval of the UAW Retiree Settlement Agreement, subject to higher or better offers (the “**363 Transaction**”).

6. On July 5, 2009, the Court approved the 363 Transaction and entered the Sale Order, and on July 10, 2009, the 363 Transaction closed. Accordingly, the Debtors no longer operate as manufacturers of any GM branded motor vehicles, nor do they retain the rights to use GM trademarks in the wind-down of their business. All such manufacturing operations and trademark rights have been sold to New GM pursuant to the 363 Transaction.

The Executory Contracts and the Leases

7. The Debtors are currently undergoing a comprehensive review of their executory contracts and unexpired leases of nonresidential real property to determine which contracts and leases to assume and which to reject. Because the Debtors have sold substantially all of their assets in the 363 Transaction and are now winding down their remaining operations, the Debtors no longer require certain executory contracts and unexpired leases and will seek to reject those contracts and leases that provide no meaningful value or benefit to the Debtors’

estates. The Debtors have reviewed the Executory Contracts and Leases that are the subject of this Motion and have determined, in the exercise of their sound business judgment, that continuing the Executory Contracts and Leases would be burdensome and would provide no corresponding benefit or utility to the Debtors or their estates.

8. The Executory Contracts include: (1) a take or pay gas supply agreement with rates well above current spot prices for natural gas, and (2) various agreements between Toyota Motor Corporation (“**Toyota**”) and MLC concerning a joint venture that is in the process of being wound down by New GM and Toyota. The Leases include: (1) a lease for manufacturing space the Debtors have vacated and no longer need for their continuing business operations, and (2) a warehouse lease for storage space that has been consolidated in other warehouses and is no longer being utilized by the Debtors. After reviewing the Executory Contracts and Leases, New GM elected not to take assignment of any of the Executory Contracts or Leases.

9. The Debtors’ primary business purpose at this stage in their chapter 11 cases is to liquidate the assets remaining following the close of the 363 Transaction in an efficient and cost-effective manner to maximize the value of the recovery for their creditors. The Executory Contracts and Leases are not necessary for the Debtors’ continuing business operations or the administration of the Debtors’ estates, and maintaining the Executory Contracts and the Leases would impose unnecessary costs and burdens on the Debtors’ estates. The Debtors have also explored the possibility of marketing the Executory Contracts and Leases, but have determined that doing so would provide no meaningful benefit or value to the Debtors’ estates. Accordingly, the Debtors submit this Motion to reject the Executory Contracts and Leases.

**Rejection of the Executory Contracts and Leases is Supported by the
Debtors' Business Judgment and Should Be Approved by the Court Effective as of the
Proposed Rejection Dates**

10. Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in pertinent part, that a debtor in possession, "subject to the court's approval, may assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." *See NLRB v. Bildisco & Bildisco*, 465 U.S. 513, 521 (1984); *see also In re Lavigne*, 114 F.3d 379, 386 (2d Cir. 1997). "[T]he purpose behind allowing the assumption or rejection of executory contracts is to permit the trustee or debtor-in-possession to use valuable property of the estate and to 'renounce title to and abandon burdensome property.' " *Orion Pictures Corp. v. Showtime Networks, Inc. (In re Orion Pictures Corp.)*, 4 F.3d 1095, 1098 (2d Cir. 1993), *cert. dismissed*, 511 U.S. 1026 (1994).

11. Courts defer to a debtor's business judgment in rejecting an executory contract or unexpired lease, and upon finding that a debtor has exercised its sound business judgment, approve the rejection under section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. *See Bildisco & Bildisco*, 465 U.S. at 523 (recognizing the "business judgment" standard used to approve rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases); *Nostas Assocs. v. Costich (In re Klein Sleep Products, Inc.)*, 78 F.3d 18, 25 (2d Cir. 1996) (recognizing the "business judgment" standard used to approve rejection of executory contracts); *In re Minges*, 602 F.2d 38, 42-43 (2d Cir. 1979) (holding that the "business judgment" test is appropriate for determining when an executory contract can be rejected); *In re G Survivor Corp.*, 171 B.R. 755, 757 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1994), *aff'd*, 187 B.R. 111 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) (approving rejection of license by debtor because such rejection satisfied the "business judgment" test); *In re Child World, Inc.*, 142 B.R. 87, 89 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992) (stating that a debtor may assume or reject an unexpired lease under § 365(a) in the exercise of its "business judgment").

12. The “business judgment” standard is not a strict standard; it requires only a showing that either assumption or rejection of the executory contract or unexpired lease will benefit the debtor’s estate. *See In re Helm*, 335 B.R. 528, 538 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1996) (“To meet the business judgment test, the debtor in possession must ‘establish that rejection will benefit the estate.’ ”) (citation omitted); *In re Balco Equities, Inc.*, 323 B.R. 85, 99 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2005) (“In determining whether the debtor has employed reasonable business discretion, the court for the most part must only determine that the rejection will likely benefit the estate.”) (quoting *G Survivor*, 171 B.R. at 757)). Further, under the business judgment standard, “[a] debtor’s decision to reject an executory contract must be summary affirmed unless it is the product of ‘bad faith, or whim or caprice’ ” *In re Trans World Airlines, Inc.*, 261 B.R. 103, 121 (Bankr. D. Del. 2001).

13. In addition, many courts in this district (including this Court) and elsewhere have authorized rejection retroactively to a date prior to entry of the order authorizing such rejection. *See, e.g., Adelphia Bus. Solutions, Inc. v. Abnos*, 482 F.3d 602 (2d Cir. 2007) (affirming this Court’s equitable authority to authorize the retroactive rejection of a nonresidential lease of real property where advance notice is provided); *BP Energy Co. v. Bethlehem Steel Corp. (In re Bethlehem Steel Corp., et al.)*, No. 02 Civ. 6419 (NRB), 2002 WL 31548723, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 15, 2002) (finding that retroactive rejection is valid when the balance of equities favor such treatment); *In re Jamesway Corp.*, 179 B.R. 33, 36 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) (stating that section 365 does not include “restrictions on the manner in which the court can approve rejection”); *In re Thinking Mach. Corp. v. Mellon Fin. Servs.*, 67 F.3d 1021, 1028 (1st Cir. 1995) (approving retroactive orders of rejection where the balance of equities favors such relief).

14. As noted above, the Debtors have reviewed the Executory Contracts and Leases and have determined that in light of the sale of substantially all of the Debtors' assets and subsequent wind-down, the Executory Contracts and Leases are no longer necessary for or beneficial to the Debtors' ongoing business, and create unnecessary and burdensome expenses for the Debtors' estates. In addition, the Debtors have determined that no meaningful value would be realized by the Debtors if the Executory Contracts or Leases were assumed and assigned to third parties. Accordingly, the Debtors are exercising their sound business judgment in seeking rejection of the Executory Contracts and Leases subject to this Motion.

15. Finally, with respect to the proposed rejection date for the Debtors' Lease with M-Tech, the Debtors notified M-Tech well in advance that it intended to seek a rejection date of October 31, 2009 and would vacate and surrender the premises on or before that date. Indeed, it is the Debtors' understanding that part of the premises previously leased by MLC have been reletted or are being used with the landlord's permission by third parties unrelated to the Debtors. Therefore, M-Tech is not prejudiced by an October 31, 2009 rejection date and the Debtors should not be responsible for any administrative rent past that date.

Notice

16. Notice of this Motion has been provided to (1) all counterparties to the Executory Contracts and Leases and (2) parties in interest in accordance with the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1015(c) and 9007 Establishing Notice and Case Management Procedures, dated August 3, 2009 [Docket No.3629]. The Debtors submit that such notice is sufficient and no other or further notice need be provided.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
November 12, 2009

/s/ Joseph H. Smolinsky

Harvey R. Miller

Stephen Karotkin

Joseph H. Smolinsky

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153

Telephone: (212) 310-8000

Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

Exhibit A

Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases of Nonresidential Real Property

EXECUTORY CONTRACTS						
	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
1	Renover Shreveport, LLC	Department Of Public Works, City Of Shreveport Attn: Mr. Fred Williams, Superintendent-Solid Waste Po Box 31109 Shreveport, LA 71130-1109	N/A	Landfill Gas Purchase Contract	1/24/2002	11/30/2009
2	Toyota Motor Corporation	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Product Responsibility Agreement	5/24/1994	11/30/2009
3	Toyota Motor Corporation and TABC, Inc.	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542	N/A	Agreement on Manufacture of Toyota-Specific Vehicles	4/24/1989	11/30/2009
4	Toyota Motor Corporation	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542	N/A	Agreement on Manufacture of Toyota-Specific Vehicles	3/31/1986	11/30/2009
5	Toyota Motor Corporation & Toyota Motor Sales of USA, Inc.	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Product Responsibility Agreement for Toyota-Specific Vehicles	3/31/1986	11/30/2009

	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
6	Toyota Motor Corporation	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Agreement for Dispatch of Technical Service Instructor	4/20/1993	11/30/2009
7	Toyota Motor Corporation	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Pricing and Production	3/22/2006	11/30/2009
8	Toyota Motor Corporation & Toyota Motor Sales of USA, Inc.	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Agreement for Allocation of NUMMI Production between GM and Toyota Motor Corporation	9/1/1986	11/30/2009
<u>UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NON-RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY</u>						
	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
1a	Fountain Lakes I, LLC	Fountain Lakes I, LLC Balke Brown Associates, Inc. 1001 Highlands Plaza Dr. West, Ste. 150 St. Louis, MO 63110-1341	Fountain Lakes II St. Charles, MI	Office/Warehouse Lease	9/22/2005	11/30/2009
1a	Fountain Lakes I, LLC	Fountain Lakes I, LLC Balke Brown Associates, Inc. Attn: Legal Department 1001 Highlands Plaza Dr. West, Ste. 150 St. Louis, MO 63110-1341	Fountain Lakes II St. Charles, MI	Confirmation Agreement of Lease Term	11/1/2005	11/30/2009

	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
2	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034 Email: KHK@maddinhauser.com	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Property Lease Agreement	8/28/1986	10/31/2009
2a	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034 Email: KHK@maddinhauser.com	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Lease Extension Agreement	3/1/1996	10/31/2009
2b	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Lease Amendment Agreement	7/19/2001	10/31/2009
2c	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034 Email: KHK@maddinhauser.com	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Lease Subordination Agreement	4/28/1998	10/31/2009

	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
2d	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034 Email: KHK@maddinhauser.com	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Lease Amendment Agreement	6/5/2006	10/31/2009

Exhibit B

Proposed Order

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i> ,	:	09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----X		

**NINTH OMNIBUS ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365
OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE TO REJECT CERTAIN EXECUTORY
CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY**

Upon the motion, dated November 12, 2009 (the “**Motion**”)¹, of Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) and its affiliated debtors, as debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “**Debtors**”), pursuant to section 365(a) of title 11, United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), for entry of an order authorizing the Debtors to reject certain executory contracts and unexpired leases of nonresidential real property, all as more fully described in the Motion; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

ORDERED that each of the Executory Contracts listed on **Annex I** attached hereto is an executory contract capable of being rejected under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; and it is further

ORDERED that each of the Leases listed on **Annex I** attached hereto is an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property capable of being rejected under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; and it is further

ORDERED that the rejection of the Executory Contracts and the Leases, as set forth herein, (1) constitutes an exercise of sound business judgment by the Debtors, made in good faith and for legitimate commercial reasons; (2) is appropriate and necessary under the circumstances described in the Motion; and (3) is warranted and permissible under sections 105 and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 6006; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 6006 and 9014, the rejection of the Executory Contracts and Leases listed on **Annex I** attached hereto and all related agreements, amendments and supplements thereto is hereby authorized and approved, effective as of the rejection dates (the “**Rejection Dates**”) set forth on **Annex I**; and it is further

ORDERED that the parties to the Executory Contracts and Leases shall have until **5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** on the date that is **thirty (30) days** after service of this Order to file a proof of claim with respect to any claim for damages arising from the rejection of the Executory Contracts or Leases; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation and/or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: _____, 2009
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Annex I

Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases of Nonresidential Real Property

EXECUTORY CONTRACTS						
	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
1	Renover Shreveport, LLC	Department Of Public Works, City Of Shreveport Attn: Mr. Fred Williams, Superintendent-Solid Waste Po Box 31109 Shreveport, LA 71130-1109	N/A	Landfill Gas Purchase Contract	1/24/2002	11/30/2009
2	Toyota Motor Corporation	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Product Responsibility Agreement	5/24/1994	11/30/2009
3	Toyota Motor Corporation and TABC, Inc.	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542	N/A	Agreement on Manufacture of Toyota-Specific Vehicles	4/24/1989	11/30/2009
4	Toyota Motor Corporation	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542	N/A	Agreement on Manufacture of Toyota-Specific Vehicles	3/31/1986	11/30/2009
5	Toyota Motor Corporation & Toyota Motor Sales of USA, Inc.	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Product Responsibility Agreement for Toyota-Specific Vehicles	3/31/1986	11/30/2009

	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
6	Toyota Motor Corporation	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Agreement for Dispatch of Technical Service Instructor	4/20/1993	11/30/2009
7	Toyota Motor Corporation	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Pricing and Production	3/22/2006	11/30/2009
8	Toyota Motor Corporation & Toyota Motor Sales of USA, Inc.	Toyota Motor Corporation Attn: Mr. Katsumi Ikeda General Manager, International Legal Affairs 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota-Shu Aichi 471-8571 Foley & Lardner LLP Attn: Matthew Riopelle, Esq. 402 West Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-3542 Email: mriopelle@foley.com	N/A	Agreement for Allocation of NUMMI Production between GM and Toyota Motor Corporation	9/1/1986	11/30/2009
<u>UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NON-RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY</u>						
	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
1a	Fountain Lakes I, LLC	Fountain Lakes I, LLC Balke Brown Associates, Inc. 1001 Highlands Plaza Dr. West, Ste. 150 St. Louis, MO 63110-1341	Fountain Lakes II St. Charles, MI	Office/Warehouse Lease	9/22/2005	11/30/2009
1a	Fountain Lakes I, LLC	Fountain Lakes I, LLC Balke Brown Associates, Inc. Attn: Legal Department 1001 Highlands Plaza Dr. West, Ste. 150 St. Louis, MO 63110-1341	Fountain Lakes II St. Charles, MI	Confirmation Agreement of Lease Term	11/1/2005	11/30/2009

	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
2	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034 Email: KHK@maddinhauser.com	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Property Lease Agreement	8/28/1986	10/31/2009
2a	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034 Email: KHK@maddinhauser.com	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Lease Extension Agreement	3/1/1996	10/31/2009
2b	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Lease Amendment Agreement	7/19/2001	10/31/2009
2c	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034 Email: KHK@maddinhauser.com	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Lease Subordination Agreement	4/28/1998	10/31/2009

	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Property Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
2d	M-Tech Associates	M-Tech Associates Attn: Legal Department 28388 Franklin Road Southfield, MI 48034 MADDIN HAUSER WARTELL ROTH & HELLER, P.C. Attn: Kathleen H. Klaus, Esq. 28400 Northwestern Highway Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034 Email: KHK@maddinhauser.com	33500 Mound Road Sterling Heights, MI	Lease Amendment Agreement	6/5/2006	10/31/2009